

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/782,260	BUELOW ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Q. Janice Li, M.D.	1633	

All Participants:

(1) Q. Janice Li, PTO.

(2) Michael Kolman, Appl. Rep.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 6 July 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

claim 1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner called on 7/6/06 indicating that the claims are allowable upon correction of minor errors. Applicant's representative agreed to make such correction(s) by an examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Q. JANICE LI, M.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)